


Synthesis of the Otteliones A and B: Use of a Cyclopropyl Group as Both a Steric Shield and a Vinyl Equivalent**


Derrick L. J. Clive* and Dazhan Liu

The otteliones A (**1**) and B (**2**),^[1–3] are exceptionally potent anticancer agents that inhibit the growth of a wide range of tumor cell lines with in vitro GI₅₀ values of less than 100 pM for **1** and less than 1 nM for **2**.^[1] For example, total growth inhibition was observed against one breast cancer cell line and one CNS cancer cell line in the nM to pM range.^[1] Additionally, it has been established that ottelione A inhibits tubulin polymerization.^[3] Both compounds are clearly important because of this impressive biological activity and their unusual structures.^[4]




Two syntheses of **1** and **2** have been reported,^[5,6] and these established the absolute configurations. Both routes used an isomerization of **1** to gain access to **2**.^[7] In the first synthesis^[5] it was stated that treatment of **1** with 1,8-diazabicyclo-[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) in hot benzene gave **2** in 83% yield with an $[\alpha]_D$ of -250 ($c=0.24$, CHCl₃). Attempts to apply this DBU method in the second synthesis^[6] gave a 1:1 mixture of the otteliones. When eventually *t*BuOK was used, a 23:77 mixture (79% yield) of **1** and **2** was produced, from which separation by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase gave **2** in 23% yield with an $[\alpha]_D$ of -333 ($c=0.18$, CHCl₃). The isomerization process is clearly not straightforward, and we describe here a route that avoids this problem.

The essential features of our approach are summarized in Scheme 1. The ring-closing-metathesis steps (**4**→**5**) require a high degree of regioselectivity among several double bonds, and this critical point was tested by first making the racemic core structures of both otteliones,^[8] and then the racemic otteliones themselves.^[9]



Scheme 1. Key features of the synthesis.

For the optically pure series, the precursor **3** satisfied all our requirements and was readily available by asymmetric cyclopropanation of 2-cyclopentenone^[10] or by elaboration of D-ribose. We have used both approaches, but for most of our study we employed the carbohydrate. Methyl 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-D-ribofuranosides^[11] were converted in five steps into the cyclopentenone **6** as reported.^[12] Cyclopentenone **6** then underwent cyclopropanation (Scheme 2, **6**→**7**) on treatment with EtO₂CCH₂S⁺Me₂·Br[−] and DBU.^[13] Acidic hydrolysis liberated the diol **8**, and its conversion into **3** was then achieved by dimesylation (MsCl, Et₃N) and hydrogenation/hydrogenolysis^[14] in the presence of Et₃N.




Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) Me₂S⁺CH₂CO₂Et Br[−], DBU, 92%; b) aq HCl/THF, 88%; c) MsCl, Et₃N; Pd/C, *i*Pr₂NEt, H₂, 57%. Ms = methanesulfonyl.

Condensation of the enolate derived from **3** with 4-methoxy-3-(*tert*-butyldimethylsiloxy)benzaldehyde (**9**),^[15] gave the epimeric alcohols **10** (Scheme 3), and removal of the hydroxy group was achieved by the action of Et₃SiH in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O^[16] so as to complete the attachment of the ArCH₂ group with the rigorous stereochemical control exerted by the shape of the ketone **3**. Simple alkylation of **3** gives a much lower yield than our two-step method. At this point, reduction of **11** with LiAlH₄ generated diol **12**.^[17] The primary hydroxy group of **12** was acylated with *t*BuCOCl and the secondary hydroxy group was oxidized. The stage was now set for the cyclopropyl unit to discharge its last function by serving as a precursor to the C(1) vinyl group; this it did in a very satisfactory way on treatment with freshly-prepared SmI₂,^[19,20] and ketone **14** was isolated in 82% yield. Although *trans* 2,4-disubstituted cyclopentanones are thermodynami-

[*] Prof. Dr. D. L. J. Clive, D. Liu
Chemistry Department, University of Alberta
Edmonton, AB T6G 2G2 (Canada)
Fax: (+1) 780-492-8231
E-mail: derrick.clive@ualberta.ca

[**] We thank the NSERC for financial support and Dr. R. McDonald for the X-ray analysis.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: a) LDA, THF, -78°C , 1 h, 4-methoxy-3-(*tert*-butyldimethylsiloxy)benzaldehyde (**9**), 91%; b) Et_3SiH , $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C , 1 h, 87%; c) LiAlH_4 , THF, 0°C , to RT, 4 h; d) $t\text{BuCOCl}$, pyridine, THF, 0°C , 1 h, RT, 30 min; e) DMP, CH_2Cl_2 , RT, 1 h, 77% from **11**; f) Sml_2 , MeOH, THF, 0°C , 5 h, 82%; g) KHMDS, THF, -78°C , 1 h, Comins reagent, 2 h, 92%; h) $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$, Ph_3P , Et_3N , MeOH, CO, DMF, 24 h, 77%; i) DIBAL, CH_2Cl_2 , -78°C , 1 h; j) DMP, CH_2Cl_2 , 45 min, 93% overall. DIBAL = diisobutylaluminum hydride, DMF = *N,N*-dimethylformamide, DMP = Dess–Martin periodinane, KHMDS = potassium 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethylhydrosilazide, LDA = lithium diisopropylamide, Piv = pivaloyl, Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl.

cally less stable than the corresponding *cis* isomers,^[20] the product formed by the present route was exclusively *trans*; attempts to introduce the vinyl group by conjugate addition to a cyclopentenone gave poor stereoselectivity.


The next task was to introduce a butadienyl group at the C7a position, and in preparation, ketone **14** was converted into enol triflate **15** by quenching the derived kinetic enolate with the Comins reagent.^[21] Carbonylation in the presence of MeOH^[22] then afforded ester **16**, which was converted into aldehyde **17** by reduction with DIBAL and Dess–Martin oxidation. Aldehyde **17** is an advanced key intermediate as it allows the introduction of the butadienyl group as well as divergence of the route to either ottelione.

Conjugate addition of a butadien-2-yl cuprate^[23–25] to **17** occurred exclusively *trans* to the vinyl group at the C1 position (Scheme 4), and protonation of the resulting enolate occurred mainly (> 7:1) from the face opposite the C3 substituent to give aldehyde **18**. This compound was used directly for reaction with vinylmagnesium bromide to form **19** (69%) as a mixture of two alcohols, which were epimeric only at the C4 position. Ring-closing metathesis with the Grubbs I catalyst bis(tricyclohexylphosphine)benzylidene ruthenium(IV)


dichloride (5 mol%) afforded the dienes **20** in almost identical yield (91–93%) from either epimeric alcohol. Evidently, the reaction proceeds with very high, and perhaps complete, selectivity among the double bonds.^[8,26] Oxidation to **21** was straightforward, and pure **1** ($[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = 19.7$; $c = 0.28$, CHCl_3) was then reached by removal of the silyl protecting group on the aromatic ring with Bu_4NF in CH_2Cl_2 (0°C , 10 min); the *cis* stereochemistry at the ring fusion was not compromised when this step was carried out under the indicated conditions.

Our route to ottelione B was also based on aldehyde **18**. Treatment of the aldehyde with DBU at room temperature afforded a mixture of the C3a isomers that was mainly the desired *trans* compound **22** (> 10:1 *trans/cis*; Scheme 5). Reaction of this mixture with vinylmagnesium bromide gave the alcohols **23** (88%), epimeric only at C4. These tetraenes underwent efficient and regioselective ring-closing metathesis to give the desired alcohols **24**. Once again, the synthesis was completed by Dess–Martin oxidation and desilylation with Bu_4NF ; the product **2** had an $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$ of -331.4 ($c = 0.18$, CHCl_3).

We were unable to crystallize ottelione A, but did obtain crystals of ottelione B that were suitable for X-ray analysis. The X-ray data show that the six-membered ring is in a half-chair conformation, and that the vinyl group is oriented in such a way that the hydrogen atoms at the C1' and C7a positions are *syn* to each other, with both of the C–H bonds parallel. The dihedral angle between the carbonyl group and the C3a–H bond is around 114° .



Scheme 4. [a] 60% yield of a mixture, > 7:1 in favor of the indicated 3a,7a-*cis* stereochemistry. [b] Epimeric only at C4. Reagents and conditions: a) 1. 2-chloro-1,3-butadiene, Mg, ZnCl_2 , THF/toluene, reflux, 1 h; 2. -78°C , $\text{CuBr} \cdot \text{SMe}_2$, HMPA, Me_3SiCl , 3. $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$, 0°C ; b) vinylmagnesium bromide, THF, 0°C , 45 min, 69%; c) 5 mol% Grubbs I cat., CH_2Cl_2 , RT, 24 h, 91–93%; d) DMP, mixture of C4 epimers of **20**, CH_2Cl_2 , 1 h, 91%; e) Bu_4NF , CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C , 10 min, 84%. HMPA = hexamethyl phosphoramide.



Scheme 5. [a] > 7:1 in favor of 3a,7a-*cis* stereochemistry. [b] > 10:1 in favor of indicated stereochemistry. [c] Epimeric only at C4. Reagents and conditions: a) DBU, CH_2Cl_2 , RT, 36 h, 91%; b) vinylmagnesium bromide, THF, 0°C , 1 h, 88%; c) 10 mol% Grubbs I cat., CH_2Cl_2 , RT, 20 h, 86%; d) DMP, mixture of C4 epimers of **24**, CH_2Cl_2 , 1 h, 93%; e) Bu_4NF , CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C , 10 min, 87%.

Our synthetic route demonstrates a high degree of selectivity between several double bonds in the ring-closing metathesis and the ability of a cyclopropane ring to first exert a steric effect and then to provide a vinyl substituent. The

successful operation of each of these factors allowed access to either ottellione.

Received: February 5, 2007
Published online: April 5, 2007

Keywords: antitumor agents · asymmetric synthesis · cyclopropanes · metathesis · total synthesis

- [1] a) S.-E. N. Ayyad, A. S. Judd, W. T. Shier, T. R. Hoyer, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 8102–8106; b) H. J. Lewis, PhD Dissertation, The University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, **2005**.
- [2] a) G. Mehta, K. Islam, *Angew. Chem.* **2002**, *114*, 2502–2504; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2396–2398.
- [3] C. Combeau, J. Provost, F. Lancelin, Y. Tournoux, F. Prod'homme, F. Herman, F. Lavelle, J. Leboul, M. Vuilhorgne, *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2000**, *57*, 553–563.
- [4] The ottellione dienone system is extremely rare; see: a) A. J. Birch, *J. Proc. R. Soc. N. S. W.* **1949**, *83*, 245–250; b) M. E. Jung, H. L. Rayle, *Synth. Commun.* **1994**, *24*, 197–203; c) H. Wild, *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 2748–2761; d) D. F. Murray, M. W. Baum, M. Jones, Jr., *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 1–7.
- [5] G. Mehta, K. Islam, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 6733–6736.
- [6] H. Araki, M. Inoue, T. Katoh, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3903–3906.
- [7] The two samples of synthetic **1** had $[\alpha]_D$ values of 19.2 ($c = 0.52$, CHCl_3)^[5] and 17.3 ($c = 0.55$, CHCl_3)^[6].
- [8] D. L. J. Clive, D. Liu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 5305–5307.
- [9] The route to the racemic ottelliones will be included in a full paper on the present study.
- [10] S. Hanessian, D. Andreotti, A. Gomtsyan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 10393–10394.
- [11] A. G. M. Barrett, S. A. Lebold, *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 3853–3857.
- [12] a) A. B. Smith III, Q. Han, P. A. S. Breslin, G. K. Beauchamp, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 5075–5078; b) M. Yang, W. Ye, S. W. Schneller, *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 3993–3996.
- [13] C. Domínguez, J. Ezquerro, L. Prieto, M. Espada, C. Pedregal, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1997**, *8*, 511–514.
- [14] It is not certain that hydrogenolysis is involved in this procedure; see: I. Kalwinsh, K.-H. Metten, R. Brückner, *Heterocycles* **1995**, *40*, 939–952.
- [15] G. R. Pettit, S. B. Singh, G. M. Cragg, *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 3404–3406.
- [16] M. Orfanopoulos, I. Smonou, *Synth. Commun.* **1988**, *18*, 833–839.
- [17] Largely, if not exclusively, a single isomer.
- [18] P. Girard, J. L. Namy, H. B. Kagan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 2693–2698.
- [19] a) R. Beerli, E. J. Brunner, H.-J. Borschberg, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 6449–6452; b) A. Nivlet, V. Le Guen, L. Dechoux, T. Le Gall, C. Mioskowski, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 2115–2118.
- [20] a) D. Varech, J. Jacques, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1969**, 3505–3515; b) M. Harispe, D. Méa, A. Horeau, J. Jacques, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1963**, 472–475; c) I. Sprung, K. Anhalt, U. Wahren, K. Schulze, *Monatsh. Chem.* **1999**, *130*, 341–354.
- [21] D. L. Comins, A. Dehgehani, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 6299–6302.
- [22] S. Cacchi, E. Morera, G. Ortar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 1109–1112.
- [23] L. Cottrell, B. T. Golding, T. Munter, W. P. Watson, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2001**, *14*, 1552–1562.
- [24] K. J. Shea, J.-S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 3044–3051.
- [25] S. Matsuzawa, Y. Horiguchi, E. Nakamura, I. Kuwajima, *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 349–362.
- [26] T. A. Kirkland, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 7310–7318.